

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/084,187	02/28/2002	; Shinichirou Kashiwagi	0369-0208P	6251/
2292	7590 12/31/2003		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH		BIRCH	FOOTLAND, LENARD A	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUI	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
. :	·	4	3682	1

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\int
*	10/084,187	KASHIWAGI ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1
*	Lenard A. Footland	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>23 <i>July</i> 2003</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	Agr Strangers	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up			
Disposition of Claims		Art Unit	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.	(1880) In the interpretate that there, will be an in-	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.	ta titun merengangania ini kecampangti padagasa sa S	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are allowed.		NTH(S) FROM	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	from a control of Ast		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement:	CROS of a fig. gailth processor of diseased the coales. PROC services coagains and coagail the coast three colors and coast of the coast.	
Application Papers		Marine the first of the control of the second of the control of th	
 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by 	accepted or b) objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		150 1 1 1	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action fo 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do since a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign langual 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do reference was included in the first sentence.	uments have been received uments have been received in Apple priority documents have been in Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The a list of the certified copies not promestic priority under 35 U.S.C. of the first sentence of the specifical application has been mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. of the specifical application has been mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	oplication No eceived in this National Stage eceived. 3.119(e) (to a provisional application tion or in an Application Data Sheet en received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific)
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of Int	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/084,187

Ast Unit: 3682

Applicant's election with traverse of the species of Fig's. 6-7 is acknowledged. Because of required separate searches, the restriction is made final.

Applicant is reminded that if the amendment of any claims results in a change of the species they read upon, that is required to be indicated. In addition, if any new claims are added, it is required that the applicant indicate which of them read on the elected species.

Failure to do so will result in a holding of nonresponsiveness.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of \$35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless—ment of any claims

(a) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United red States.

Compesponsiveness,

a read on the elected species.

Pega 2

Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a), as being anticipated by Webb et al. The examiner finds all claimed subject matter to be present.

See Fig. 3.

As to the process limitation[s] "rolling-caulking", etc. note the following:

When the prior art discloses a product elected species, which reasonably appears to be either identical.

To a 1000m, as being to a control of the control of

A ST ST GARLES STATES

Application/Control Number: 10/084,187

Art Unit: 3682

with or only slightly different than a product claimed in a product-by-process claim, a with the latest and the claim of the claim rejection based ... on ... 35 U.S.C. [102 or 103] ... of the statute is appropriate. As a practical matter, the Patent and Trademark Office is not equipped to manufacture products by the myriad of processes put before it and then obtain prior art products and make physical comparisons therewith. A lesser burden of proof is required to make out a case of prima, and and facie obviousness for product-by-process claims because of their peculiar nature than when a product is claimed in the conventional fashion. In re Brown, 59 CCPA 1063, 173 ct USPQ 685 (1972); In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ S.C. 1102 of 1031 324 (CCPA 1974). See MPEP 706.03(e). - de. As a practical

Note also that reference to intermediate product structures makes it fairly easy to inadvertently render claims indefinite.

Claims 1-2 are allowed.

the conventional things of the conventional things of the second of the

mark Office is not

diwits by the

The program will be the second

on the second second

19 79 11 5

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2683.

Fax: 703-872-9326

Junas N. Man

Lenard A. Footland

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600 Art Unit 3682

laf December 15, 2003